

# **Jagannath International Management School**

**Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070**

(Affiliated to Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi)

**Grade 'A' accredited by NAAC & ISO 9001:2015 Quality Certified**

**Recognized u/s 2(f) by UGC**

## **PUBLICATION ETHICS & MALPRACTICE STATEMENT**

The ethics statement of Mass Communicator: International Journal of Communication Studies is based on the guidelines available at [www.jimsd.org](http://www.jimsd.org) which are in consonance with the guidelines framed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) available at <https://publicationethics.org>.

### **Editor Responsibilities**

#### **Publication Decisions & Accountability**

The editor of the journal is responsible for deciding as to which articles submitted to the journal should be published and moreover, is accountable for everything published in the journal. In making these decisions, the editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial advisory board and/or the policies of the publisher as well as, by the legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers when making publication decisions. The editor should maintain the integrity of the academic record, preclude business need from compromising intellectual and ethical standards, and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies when needed.

#### **Fair play**

The editor evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship or political philosophy of the author(s).

#### **Confidentiality**

The editor and any editorial staff does not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisors and the publisher, as appropriate.

#### **Disclosure, conflicts of interest, and other issues**

The editor is guided by COPE's guidelines for retracting articles when considering, retracting, issuing expressions of concern, and corrections pertaining to articles that have been published.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript are not used in an editor's own research without the explicit written consent of the author(s). Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review is always kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint, or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions.

The editor seeks to ensure a fair and appropriate peer-review process. He recuses himself/herself from handling manuscripts (i.e. asks the managing editor, associate editor, or any other member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) in which (s)he has conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers.

The editor asks all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interest are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action is taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern.

### **Reviewer's Responsibilities**

#### **Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is a unacceptable practice.

#### **Contribution to editorial decisions**

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communication with the author may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.

#### **Promptness**

Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its timely review will be impossible, should immediately notify the editor so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

#### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the editor.

#### **Standards of objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author(s) is unacceptable. Referees should express their views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

#### **Acknowledgement of sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the author(s). Any statement that an observation, derivation or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Reviewers should also bring to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

#### **Disclosure and conflict of interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the author, company, or institution connected with the submission.

### **Author Responsibilities**

#### **Reporting standards**

Authors reporting result of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

#### **Originality and plagiarism**

The authors should ensure that they write entirely original work, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, then this has to be appropriately cited or quoted.

#### **Acknowledgement of sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

#### **Authorship of a manuscript**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an acknowledgement section. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) and no inappropriate co-authors are included in the author list of the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication. All co-authors must be clearly indicated at the time of manuscript submission. Requests to add co-authors after a manuscript has been accepted will require approval of the editor.

#### **Disclosure and conflict of interest**

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

#### **Fundamental errors in published works**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal's editor or publisher and cooperate with them to either retract the paper or to publish an appropriate correction statement or erratum.

## **Publisher Responsibilities**

### **Editorial autonomy**

Mass Communicator is committed to working with editors to define clearly the respective roles of publisher and of editors in order to ensure the autonomy of editorial decisions, without influence from advertisers or other commercial partners.

### **Intellectual property and copyright**

We protect the intellectual property and copyright of Mass Communicator, its imprints, authors and publishing partners by promoting and maintaining each article's published version of record. Mass Communicator ensures the integrity and transparency of each published article with respect to: conflicts of interest, publication and research funding, publication and research ethics, cases of publication and research misconduct, confidentiality, authorship, article corrections, clarifications and retractions, and timely publication of content.

### **Scientific misconduct**

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism, the publisher in close collaboration with the editors, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of a correction statement or erratum or, in the most severe cases, the retraction of the affected work.